	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	1	FUKUHARA ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	10/083,508 Examiner	Art Unit
	Wesley L. Kim	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT F of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	pears on the cover sheet with the cost (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap of or other appropriate communication RIGHTS. This application is subject to	orrespondence address plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 4/21/06.		
2. 🛮 The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>3-5</u> .		
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Hoternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	ve been received. ve been received in Application No	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub- INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mutering (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspe to Paper No./Mail Date including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date ldentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 	Office action of ngs in the front (not the back) of d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
 Attachm nt(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No./Mail Date 12/16/05) 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material) 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da (/08), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amend	te
LLS Patent and Trademark Office	GEOF SUPERVISORY	RGE ENG PATENT EXAMINER

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The examiner notes that the applicant has submitted a copy of GB 1586260, which is essentially a published version of Japanese Document 53-084619; therefore the examiner has considered the Japanese Document 53-084619.

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Action is in response to Amendment filed 4/21/06.
 - Claims 1-2 and 6-8 have been cancelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 3-5 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicant invention is drawn to a radio LAN master station system which provides long distance communication and suffers from small interference by another system located inside and/or outside of a service area comprising a transceiver and a plurality of directional antennas each directed to a respective section with some angular spacing, through a power distributor which couples said transceiver with said antennas.

Polarization plane of any antenna is orthogonal to polarization plane of an adjacent antenna.

Applicant's independent claim 3 recites, *inter alia*, selecting one of the antennas having the largest interference; rotating an angle of polarization plane of the selected antenna to determine an angle of polarization plane called a reference angle so that interference becomes a minimum; and determining an angle of polarization plane of

other antennas based upon said reference angle so that an angle of polarization plane of any said antenna is orthogonal to an angle of polarization plane of an adjacent antenna. These steps in combination with the remaining steps are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art. Accordingly, Applicants claims are allows for these reasons and for the reasons recited in Non-Final Action (mail date 6/22/05) and Amendments (11/2/05 and 1/25/05).

Applicant's independent claim 4 recites, *inter alia*, selecting one of the antennas having the largest interference; selecting one of a vertical polarization plane and a horizontal polarization plane of said selected antenna, as a reference polarization plane; and determining an angle of polarization plane of other antennas based upon said reference polarization plane so that a polarization plane of any antenna is orthogonal to an adjacent antenna. These steps in combination with the remaining steps are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art. Accordingly, Applicants claims are allows for these reasons and for the reasons recited in Non-Final Action (mail date 6/22/05) and Amendments (11/2/05 and 1/25/05).

Applicants independent claim 5 recites, *inter alia*, selecting one of the antennas having the largest interference; selecting one of a vertical polarization plane and a horizontal polarization plane of said selected antenna, as a reference polarization plane; determing the angle of polarization plane of other antennas based upon said reference polarization plane so that a polarization plane of any antenna is orthogonal to an adjacent antenna; second steps comprising the steps of; selecting one of the antennas having the largest interference greater than a predetermined threshold; and reversing

Art Unit: 2617

the polarization plane of said selected antenna from vertical polarization to horizontal polarization, or from horizontal polarization to vertical polarization; and third steps repeating each of the steps of said second steps until interference of all the antennas becomes less than said predetermined threshold. These steps in combination with the remaining steps are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art. Accordingly, Applicants claims are allows for these reasons and for the reasons recited in Non-Final Action (mail date 6/22/05) and Amendments (11/2/05 and 1/25/05).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wesley L. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-7867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,508 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WLK Wo Cay tim

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER